PPH at Caesarean section: impact on maternal mortality



Dr. Soha Sobhy-Academic clinical lecturer, QMUL



Background

- The need for safe surgery globally is recognised as a public health priority.
- Caesarean section is the most commonly performed procedure globally
- Universal and timely access to a safe caesarean section is a key requirement for safe childbirth and is life saving
- At a time when the caesarean delivery rate are rising globally, there is concern about unnecessary caesarean sections and increase in morbidity and mortality





Over and under use of caesarean section

- Too little, too late: Absent, delayed or inadequate care often linked to insufficient resources such as staff, supplies, medicines or training
- Too much, too soon: Over-medicalization of pregnancy and childbirth often resulting in unnecessary interventions/ c/s



POSTPARTUM HAEMORRHAGE (PPH)

Severe bleeding after giving birth, known as PPH, is the biggest single cause of mothers dying after childbirth

14m mothers develop PPH each year globally

An estimated

100,000 women died from PPH in 2015

of these deaths were in developing countries

193 HOSPITALS

20,000 WOMEN 21 COUNTRIES

PPH can also lead to hysterectomy and severe anaemia

Find out more at **bit.ly/womanvideo**

Source: The WOMAN trial (2017) Credit: Rebeccah Robinson/LSHTM



Rate of caesarean section

The risk of death in mothers delivered by caesarean section was:

Higher in countries with CS rates < 10% Low in countries with CS rates > 15%



Caesarean section disparities

- Within-country disparities in CS use were very large:
 - **Rich V Poor** 5x more frequent CS use in LMIC's
 - Private V public settings: 1.8X More frequent use of CS in private institutions than in public health facilities
 - markedly high CS use was observed among low obstetric risk births, especially among more educated women.
 - Urban V rural population level rate in Ethiopia 2% but in Addis 21%





	Studies or cohorts	Caesarean section deaths (n)	Total maternal deaths (N)	Prevalence (% per 100; 95% Cl)	τ	p value for Interaction
Region*						0-012
Sub-Saharan Africa	31	4330	17 219	22-0 (18-8-25-4)	0-67	
East Asia and Pacific	11	610	3398	18·4 (15·9-21·1)	0.04	
Middle East and north Africa	14	855	3177	34.5 (21.6-48.7)	1.11	
South Asia	12	355	2364	20·7 (13·8–28·5)	0.35	
Latin America and the Caribbean	1	202	459	44.0 (39.5-48.6)	-	
Europe and central Asia	1	50	174	28.7 (22.5-35.9)	-	
Year of study						0.87
Before 2000	28	1325	6162	23·5 (18·7-28·7)	0.49	
2000 or later	44	5345	21489	24.0 (20-6-27.7)	0.71	
Study design						0.11
Prospective	11	570	1893	28-8 (22-0-36-1)	0.42	
Retrospective	61	6100	25758	22-9 (20-0-26-1)	0.64	
Income setting						0.31
Low	13	696	2287	25-2 (16-2-35-4)	0.60	
Lower-middle	34	1034	5975	21.0 (16-0-26-4)	0.71	
Upper-middle	22	4660	18 474	25-9 (22-4-30-0)	0-47	
Quality						0.48
Low	9	213	844	20-3 (11-4-31-0)	0.73	
High	63	6457	26 807	24-2 (21-3-27-3)	0.61	
Overall	72	6670	27651	23.8 (21.0-26.7)	0.62	

Caesarean section deaths as a proportion of all maternal deaths

> View Gueen Mary University of London Barts and The London

Maternal mortality following caesarean section

	Studies or cohorts	Maternal deaths (n)	Caesarean sections (N)	Risk (n/N per 1000; 95% CI)	τ	p value for Interaction
Region*	-			-		0.011
Sub-Saharan Africa	87	5843	1891505	10.9 (9.5-12.5)	0-81	-
South Asia	13	266	77 239	4.3 (2.0-7.3)	1.75	
East Asia and Pacific	5	121	115 866	0.9 (0.3–1.9)	0-49	
Europe and central Asia	2	51	130 596	0·3 (0·2–0·4)	0-00	
Latin America and the Caribbean	3	379	534734	0-9 (0-4–1-4)	0-10	
Middle East and north Africa	4	37	27 662	3.2 (0.3-8.3)	4-54	
Year of study						0.27
Before 2000	24	947	298976	9.7 (6.3-13.7)	1-31	
2000 or later	92	6035	2 634 481	6·9 (5·9-7·9)	1.59	
Study design						0.58
Prospective	49	1780	344 042	8.0 (5.7–10.6)	1.43	
Retrospective	67	5202	2589415	7.8 (6.7-8.9)	1.62	
Income setting						0.012
Low	50	1904	138827	13-2 (10-1-16-7)	0.99	
Lower-middle	23	474	129634	3.1 (1.8-4.6)	0-46	-
Upper-middle	41	4336	2 509 141	5.4 (4.5-6.4)	2.18	
Quality of study		-	-	-	-	0.063
Low	28	1351	134 999	10.0 (6.4–14.2)	1.34	-
High	88	5631	2798458	6-9 (6-0-7-8)	1.58	-
Type of hospital		-	-	-	-	0.014
District hospital	18	167	19393	87 (59-119)	0-39	
Mixed†	29	5185	2484212	5-1 (3-9-6-4)	2.47	-
Private hospital	1	3	1120	2·7 (0·9–7·8)	-	-
Tertiary or teaching hospital	66	1359	272 877	10-3 (7-5-13-4)	1-27	
Overall	116	6982	2 933 457	7.6 (6-6-8-6)	0-81	



World Map



Figure 3: World map of maternal death risk following caesarean section in women from low-income and middle-income countries



Contributory Causes of CS deaths



- Postpartum heamorraghe
- Pre-eclampsia
- Sepsis
- Anaesathesia
- Other



Indication for CS





Predictors of maternal mortality-ASOS data

Risk for maternal mortality	Definition	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Preoperative		
Major bleeding risk	Placenta praevia, abruptio, ruptured uterus, or antepartum haemorrhage	4·5 (1·5-13·7)
Perioperative		
Severe obstetric haemorrhage	Ante-partum haemorrhage, >1000ml intraoperative bleeding or severe postoperative bleeding	5.9 (2.0-17.3)
Anaesthesia complications	Failed intubation, aspiration, cardiac arrest or hypoxia	11.5 (1.2-109.2)



Risk factor : Type of anesthesia

	Number of studies	General anaesthesia		Regional anaesthesia		i		OR (95% CI)	ľ
		Number of events	Number of women	Number of events	Number of womer	-			
Maternal death	9	138	23256	25	21035			3.3 (1.2–9)	58%
Perinatal death	5	860	4882	181	3459		-	2.3 (1.2–4.1)	73%
Post-partum haemorrhage	4	183	2923	302	67694			9.4 (5.1-17.2)	65%
Intensive care unit admission of mother	3	319	14243	126	286682			34.4 (8.9-133.2)	75%
Cardiac arrest	4	21	8234	10	15130	-		3.6 (0.62–20.6)	71%
Low Apgar score at 5 min	7	282	909	62	919		*	3.2 (2.3-4.4)	0%
Low Apgar score at 1 min	6	254	303	57	395			6.3 (2.9–13.6)	64%
						0.1 1	10	100	
						■ Favours general anaesthesia	Favours regional anaesthesia		



Risk factors: Type of caesarean section

A Urgency of caesarean secti	ion (emergen	cy vs electi	ive)					
	Studies (n)	Events	Number of events				OR (95% CI)	τ²
Maternal outcomes								
Maternal death	19	521	217464				2.2 (1.1-4.1)	0.91
Post-partum haemorrhage	8	200	2715				2.9 (1.1-7.7)	1.50
Hysterectomy	5	234	52031			\sim	2.2 (1.0-5.3)	0.43
Blood transfusion	8	2317	35854		_		2.6 (0.8–9.0)	2.90
Anaemia	5	561	2060			\sim	2.2 (1.1–4.4)	0.37
Post-partum infection	3	65	648			\sim	10.2 (4.4–23.7)	0.00
Post-partum endometritis	4	42	2528		\sim	\sim	1.2 (0.6–2.5)	0.00
Wound infection	8	336	3901		\sim	\sim	1.3 (0.6–2.9)	0.98
Bladder or bowel injury	4	20	3261		-		2.7 (0.9–8.2)	0.00
ITU admission	2	49	2737 —				- 1.0 (0.02-56.5)	7.70
Fetal outcomes								
Perinatal death	11	2 723	55093			\diamond	5.0 (4.0–6.4)	0.02
Low Apgar score 5 mins	4	238	1155			\sim	3.9 (1.8–8.3)	0.37
			0.01 0.02 0.	04 0·1 0·2 ◀───	0.5		50 100	
			Favo	urs emergency		Favours elective		



Risk factor: Timing of CS

B Timing of caesarean section	n (second	l vs first stage)					
Maternal outcomes							
Maternal death	4	7	6061			12·3 (2·9–52·5)	0.00
ITU admission	3	13	2238			16·7 (4·9–56·8)	0.00
Hysterectomy	5	23	5942			22.1 (7.6–64.4)	0.00
Blood transfusion	7	251	8403		\sim	2.0 (1.0–3.8)	0.49
Post-partum haemorrhage	4	348	5748			5.2 (1.8-14.7)	0.85
Intraoperative complications	3	323	5708			17.8 (3.3-95.3)	1.70
Bladder injury	5	75	8395		\sim	5.6 (3.0–10.6)	0.19
Post-partum endometritis	2	199	3965		\triangleleft	1.7 (1.1–2.6)	0.00
Wound infection	7	321	7332			2.8 (1.2–6.5)	0.93
Uterine angle extension	4	241	6840			11.5 (4.2–31.2)	0.82
Fetal outcomes							
Perinatal death	5	33	6157			9·2 (4·2–20·1)	0.00
Low Apgar score 5 mins	3	21	2537			- 11·9 (1·1-130·3)	2.90
Neonatal intensive care admission	on 6	357	7488		$\langle \rangle$	3.6 (2.2–5.8)	0.24
				0.02 0.04 0.1 0.2 0.5		0 200	
				Favours second stage	Favours first stage		



Conclusions

- Caesarean section deaths disproportionally high in LMIC
- Biggest contributor to caesarean section mortality is PPH.
- Risk factors include emergency c/s especially 2nd stage
- More needs to be done to prevent unnecessary caesarean sections and increase safety of procedures.



References

- Sobhy S, Arroyo-Manzano A, et al, Maternal and perinatal mortality and complications associated with caesarean section in low and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis involving 12 million pregnancies. The Lancet 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32386-9</u>
- Sobhy S, Zamora J, Dharmarajah K, Arroyo-Manzano, et al. Anaesthesia-related maternal mortality in low-income and middleincome countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Global Health. 2016;4(5):e320-e7.
- A.Boatin et al, Within country inequalities in caesarean section rates: observational study of 72 low and middle income countries, BMJ, 2018 Jan 24;360:k55,doi: 10.1136/bmj.k55.
- D. Bishop et al, Maternal and neonatal outcomes after caesarean delivery in the African Surgical Outcomes Study: a 7-day prospective observational cohort study, The Lancet Global Health, 2019 7(4), :https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30036-1





Any Questions?